

Constructing Spacetime from the String Worldsheet.

Mark D. Roberts,
54 Grantley Avenue, Womersley Park, GU5 0QN, UK
mdr@ihes.fr

2nd of November 2009

Abstract

In a certain sense riemannian geometry can be thought of as geometry built up from the finslerian properties of point particles. The generalization of this to where the geometry is built up from the finslerian properties of string and membranes is investigated. Solely classical arguments suggest a physical interpretation in which microscopic strings are directly related to macroscopic geometry; alternatively the resulting geometry can be interpreted as that describing microscopic spacetime.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Finsler geometry.	3
3	The point particle.	4
4	The string.	5
5	The membrane.	6
6	Conclusion.	9
7	Acknowledgements	9

1 Introduction

A problem with string theory is the nature of the relationship between microscopic strings and macroscopic spacetime. A property of string theory is that, in the limit that the string becomes a point, a corresponding field theory is recovered. General relativity is based upon geometry defined at points: from a finslerian point of view the riemmanian geometry of general relativity is just a macroscopic geometry constructed from the point particles' lagrangian. This leads to the question of what sort of geometry corresponds to the string and membrane lagrangians. This is the problem looked at here. Geometry based upon area, although not world sheet area, has been studies by Cartan [7], see also Akivis and Rosenfeld [2], Brickell [6] and Vacaru [14]; Brickell considers dependence on position and area. The difference of these approaches to the present one is that dependence on velocities is also needed. In modern canonical gravity objects which are not point-like are sometimes studied, see for example Abdalla, Castello-Branco and Lima-Santos [1]. Spacetime as an emergent structure has been studied by Barcelo, Liberati and Visser [3], Bekenstein [5] and Pavsic [10]. Text-books on finslerian geometry include Bejancu [4], Matsumato [9] and Rund [13], here Rund Chap.1 is followed where possible. The terminology used here is that $\hat{g}$ is the hat metric and g is the indicial metric used for simple raising and loweing of indices. The systems that Rund [13] considers have hamiltonian normalized to one, as here systems which are weakly zero are often used Rund's hamiltonian approach is not gone into. The connection and curvature can be defined several ways, here we use the familar Christoffel connection and Riemann curvature except that now the metric can be velocity dependent.

2 Finsler geometry.

Following Rund [13] pages 1-23 assume that a given space has points, curves, and velocities

$$x^i, \quad x^i(t), \quad \dot{x}^i \equiv \frac{dx^i(t)}{dt}, \quad \sum_i (\dot{x}^i) \neq 0. \quad (1)$$

The distance between two close points $A(x^i)$ and $B(x^i + dx^i)$ is given by

$$ds = F(x^i, dx^i). \quad (2)$$

Introduce the notation

$$F_{\dot{x}^i}(x, \dot{x}) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}^i} F(x, \dot{x}). \quad (3)$$

The function $F(x^i, \dot{x}^i)$ is positively homogeneous of degree one in the $\dot{x}^i$

$$F(x^i, k\dot{x}^i) = kF(x^i, \dot{x}^i), \quad k > 0. \quad (4)$$

Euler's theorem on homogeneous functions can be expressed as

$$F_{\dot{x}^i}(x, \dot{x})\dot{x}^i = F(x, \dot{x}), \quad F_{\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^j}(x, \dot{x})\dot{x}^i = 0, \quad \det |F_{\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^j}| = 0. \quad (5)$$

Using the notation

$$F \equiv F(x, \dot{x}), \quad F_{\dot{x}^i}^2 \equiv (F_{\dot{x}^i}(x, \dot{x}))^2, \quad (6)$$

the chain rule is

$$\frac{1}{2}F_{\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^j}^2 = F_{\dot{x}^i}F_{\dot{x}^j} + FF_{\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^j}, \quad (7)$$

multipling by $\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^j$ and applying Euler's theorem 5 gives the second order equality

$$\frac{1}{2}F_{\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^j}^2(x, \dot{x})\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^j = F^2(x, \dot{x}). \quad (8)$$

One can define a hat metric

$$\hat{g}_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) \equiv \frac{1}{2}F_{\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^j}^2(x, \dot{x}), \quad (9)$$

then using the second order equality (8) gives the hat metric finsler function relationship

$$\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^j\hat{g}_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) = F^2(x, \dot{x}), \quad (10)$$

comparing with (2) the familar expression for infinitesimal distance is recovered. Rund [13] goes on to discuss hamiltonian systems.

3 The point particle.

For the point particle the non linear or square root form of the lagrangian is equal to the length

$$\mathcal{L} = F = -m\ell \equiv -m\sqrt{-\dot{x}^2} \quad (11)$$

This lagrangian obeys the homogeneity relationship 4 as

$$F(x^i, k\dot{x}^i) = -m\sqrt{-k\dot{x}^a \cdot k\dot{x}^a} = -mk\ell = kF. \quad (12)$$

Euler' homogeneous equations (5) become

$$p^i \equiv F_{\dot{x}^i} = \frac{m\dot{x}^i}{\ell}, \quad \dot{x}^i F_{\dot{x}^i} = -m\ell, \quad w^{ij} \equiv F_{\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j} = \frac{m}{\ell} h_{ij}, \quad \dot{x}^i F_{\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j} = 0, \quad (13)$$

where the projection operator

$$h_{ij} \equiv g_{ij} - \frac{\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j}{\dot{x}^2}, \quad (14)$$

is the familar one from general relativity, and p^i and w^{ij} and the momentum and hessian, compare eq.(12)[11]. The second order relationship (8) becomes

$$F_{\dot{x}^i}^2 = -2m^2 \dot{x}^i, \quad F_{\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j}^2 = -2m^2 g_{ij}, \quad \frac{1}{2} F_{\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j}^2 \dot{x}^j = F F_{\dot{x}^i}, \quad \frac{1}{2} F_{\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j}^2 \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j = F^2, \quad (15)$$

From the second equation (15) the hat metric finsler function relationship is given by

$$\hat{g}_{ij} \equiv -\frac{1}{2m} F_{\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j}^2, \quad (16)$$

the minus sign coming about because of lorentz signature, for a positive definite signature there would be a plus sign. In terms of the momentum and hessian (13), (16) is

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = -\frac{1}{m} p_i p_j + \ell w_{ij}, \quad (17)$$

and the hat metric finsler function relationship (10) becomes

$$\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j \hat{g}_{ij} = -\frac{1}{m} F^2. \quad (18)$$

One difference is that from (5)

$$\det |F_{\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j}| = \frac{1}{\ell} \det | -g| \quad (19)$$

It is apparent that $\hat{g} = g$ so that the metric and geometry are the same as that of general relativity.

4 The string.

For the string the square root or non linear lagrangian is equal to the area

$$\mathcal{L} = F = -\frac{\mathcal{A}}{2\pi\alpha'}, \quad \mathcal{A} \equiv \sqrt{(\dot{x} \cdot x')^2 - \dot{x}^2 x'^2}. \quad (20)$$

In the velocity only approach $F = F(x^i, \dot{x}^i)$ in particular F is not a function of x' . For the string use $F(x, \dot{x})$ as before and the x' come out as new terms, there are other possibilities that are discussed under membranes §5. The lagrangian (20) obeys the homogeneity relationship (4) as

$$F(x^i, k\dot{x}^i) = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \sqrt{k^2(\dot{x} \cdot x')^2 - k\dot{x}^a \cdot k\dot{x}^a x'^2} = -\frac{k}{2\pi\alpha'} \mathcal{A} = kF, \quad (21)$$

Euler's homogeneous equations (5) become

$$\begin{aligned} p^i \equiv F_{\dot{x}^i} &= \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha' \mathcal{A}} (-\dot{x} \cdot x' x'^i + x'^2 \dot{x}^i), & \dot{x}^i F_{\dot{x}^i} &= \frac{-\mathcal{A}}{2\pi\alpha'}, \\ w^{ij} \equiv F_{\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j} &= \frac{x'^2 h^{ij}}{2\pi\alpha' \mathcal{A}}, & \dot{x}^i F_{\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where the projection tensor is

$$h^{ij} \equiv g^{ij} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}^2} (\dot{x}^2 x'^i x'^j + x'^2 \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j - (\dot{x} \cdot x')(\dot{x}^i x'^j + x'^i \dot{x}^j)), \quad h = d-2. \quad (23)$$

compare [11]§3.4. The second order relationship (8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\dot{x}^i}^2 &= -\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\pi\alpha'} p_i, & F_{\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j}^2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2 \alpha'^2} (x'^i x'^j - x'^2 g_{ij}), \\ \frac{1}{2} F_{\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j}^2 \dot{x}^j &= F F_{\dot{x}^i}, & \frac{1}{2} F_{\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j}^2 \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j &= F^2, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The hat metric $\hat{g}$ (16) generalizes to

$$\hat{g}_{ij} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} F_{\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j}^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 \alpha'^2} (-x'_i x'_j + x'^2 g_{ij}), \quad (25)$$

and in this case does not equal the indicial metric g . In terms of the momentum and hessian (22), (25) is

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = -p_i p_j + \frac{\mathcal{A}}{2\pi\alpha'} w_{ij}, \quad (26)$$

the hat metric finsler function relationship (10) becomes

$$\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j \hat{g}_{ij} = -\frac{\mathcal{A}^2}{4\pi^2 \alpha'^2} = -F^2. \quad (27)$$

In the present case equation (25) shows that the two metrics g and $\hat{g}$ are not the same. Suppose one seeks an inverse of the metric 26 of the form

$$\hat{g}^{ij} = \alpha \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j + \beta (\dot{x}^i x'^j + x'^i \dot{x}^j) + \gamma x'^i x'^j + b g^{ij}, \quad (28)$$

then using 25 to form the identity, dimension $d = \hat{g}^{ij} \hat{g}_{ij}$, the β and γ terms self-cancel and the b term leads to a contradiction, leaving the α term

$$\hat{g}^{ij} = -\frac{4\pi^2 \alpha'^2 d}{\mathcal{A}^2} \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j. \quad (29)$$

The inverse metric allows construction of a Christoffel symbol which is a sum of

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{jk}^1 &= \frac{\dot{x}^i d}{\mathcal{A}^2} \left(\dot{x} \cdot x' x'_{(j,k)} + \dot{x}^l x'_j x'_{[l,k]} + \dot{x}^l x'_k x'_{[l,j]} \right), \\ \Gamma_{jk}^2 &= \frac{\dot{x}^i d}{2\mathcal{A}^2} \left(-\dot{x}^l x'^2 \{_{jlk} \} - 2\dot{x}_{(j} x'^2_{,k)} + g_{jk} \dot{x}^l x'^2_{,l} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

and from this a Riemann tensor can be constructed, but its interpretation is obscure.

5 The membrane.

The square root or non linear membrane action is

$$S_D = k \int_M d^{p+1} \xi \sqrt{-\gamma}, \quad \gamma_{ab} = g_{ij} \partial_a x^i \partial_b x^j, \quad \sqrt{-\gamma} = (-\det \gamma_{ab})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (31)$$

so that

$$\mathcal{L} = F(x, x^a) = k \sqrt{-\gamma}. \quad (32)$$

Choosing

$$\begin{aligned} p &= 1, \quad k = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}, \quad a, b \dots = \tau, \sigma, \quad d^2 \xi = d\tau d\sigma, \\ \gamma &= \det(\gamma_{ab}) = -\mathcal{A}^2, \quad \gamma_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}^2 & \dot{x} \cdot x' \\ x' \cdot \dot{x} & x'^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma \gamma^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} x'^2 & -x' \cdot \dot{x} \\ -\dot{x} \cdot x' & \dot{x}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

the string lagrangian 20 is recovered. The first fundamental form is defined by

$$\aleph^{ij} \equiv \gamma^{ab} x_a^i x_b^j, \quad \aleph^{ik} \aleph_{,k}^j = \aleph^{ij}, \quad \aleph_{,k}^k = \gamma_{,c}^c = x_{,c}^{kc} x_{kc} = p+1, \quad (34)$$

which allows the generalization of the projection tensors 14 and 23 to be expressed as

$$h^{ij} = g^{ij} - \aleph^{ij}, \quad h = d - 1 - p. \quad (35)$$

One can form the Christoffel symbol for the metric γ

$$\{^a_{bc}\} = x^a \cdot x_{b,c}, \quad (36)$$

using the last equation of (34) partial derivatives can be replaced by covariant derivatives

$$x_{;bc} = x_{,bc} - \{^e_{bc}\}x_e = x_{,bc}(1 - x^e x_e) = -px_{,bc}, \quad (37)$$

and 36 becomes

$$\{^a_{bc}\} = -\frac{1}{p}x^a \cdot x_{;bc}, \quad (38)$$

which must be torsion free. The Riemann tensor is defined by

$$R^a_{bcd} \equiv \{^a_{db}\}_{,c} - \{^a_{cb}\}_{,d} + \{^a_{cf}\}\{^f_{db}\} - \{^a_{df}\}\{^f_{cb}\}, \quad (39)$$

using 38 this is

$$R^a_{bcd} = -\frac{2}{p}(x^a \cdot x_{;[d|b|]_{;c]}) + \frac{2}{p^2}x^e \cdot x_{;[c|b}x^a \cdot x_{;e|d]} \quad (40)$$

contracting and using $0 = (p+1)_{,e} = (x^a x_a)_{;e} = 2x^a x_{;ae}$ gives

$$R_{bd} = -\frac{1}{p}(x^a \cdot x_{;db})_{;a} + \frac{1}{p^2}x^e \cdot x_{;ab}x^a \cdot x_{;ed}, \quad R = -\frac{1}{p}(x^a \cdot x^d_{;d})_{;a} + \frac{1}{p^2}x^e \cdot x_{;ab}x^a \cdot x_{;e}{}^b. \quad (41)$$

The Ricci scalar is a total derivative so that it has limited use as a classical lagrangian. The lagrangian obeys a generalization of the homogeneity condition 4

$$F(x^i, k_A x^{iA}) = k_A F, \quad (42)$$

where A is an unsummed internal index. From [12] the momentum and hessian are

$$p^{ia} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^{ia}} = +k\sqrt{-\gamma}x^{ia}, \quad w^{ijab} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^{jb} \partial x^{ia}} = +k\sqrt{-\gamma}(g^{ij}\gamma^{ab} + x^{ia}x^{jb}), \quad (43)$$

The generalization of the second order relationship (24) is

$$F^2_{x^{ia}} = 2k\sqrt{-\gamma}p^{ia}, \quad F^2_{x^{ia}x^{jb}} = -2k^2\gamma(g^{ij}\gamma^{ab} + 2x^{bj}x^{ai}) \quad (44)$$

from which the generalization of the hat metric (25) is

$$\hat{g}^{ij} M^{ab} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} F_{x^{ia} x^{bj}}^2 = k^2 \gamma \left(g^{ij} \gamma^{ab} + 2x^{ia} x^{bj} \right), \quad (45)$$

where M is a matrix to be determined. There are three possible ways of removing the internal indices occuring in M_{ab} : the *first* is to pick out a component

$$\hat{g}_{ij} M_{\tau\tau} = k^2 \gamma (g_{ij} \gamma_{\tau\tau} + 2\dot{x}_i \dot{x}_j) \quad (46)$$

proceeding as for (28) there are more possibilities, but choosing that $\hat{g}$ has only explicit $\dot{x}$ dependence and not x' dependence

$$\hat{g}^{ij} = \frac{dM_{\tau\tau} \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j}{k^2 \gamma \dot{x}^2 (\gamma_{\tau\tau} + 2\dot{x}^2)}, \quad (47)$$

the *second* is to trace over the internal indices

$$\hat{g}_{ij} M_a^a = k^2 \gamma ((p+1)g_{ij} + 2\aleph_{ij}) \quad (48)$$

where the first fundamental form $\aleph$ is given by (34), the inverse metric is of the form

$$\hat{g}^{ij} = \alpha g^{ij} + \beta \aleph^{ij}, \quad (49)$$

where α and β are constrained by

$$(d+2)\alpha + (p+3)\beta = \frac{dM_c^c}{k^2 \gamma (p+1)} \quad (50)$$

the *third* is to take determinants over the internal indices

$$\hat{g}_{ij} \det(M_{ab}) = k^2 (-\gamma) \left(-g_{ij} - 2 \det_{ab}(x_{ia} x_{bj}) \right) \quad (51)$$

where $\det_{ab}$ signifies that the determinant is taken over the internal indices a, b , this choice does not seem to have an explicit inverse because of the determinant. For (46) and (48) it is possible to construct Christoffel symbols similar to (30).

6 Conclusion.

The string and membrane generalization of the finslerian point particle approach to riemannian geometry was presented. The relationship between the hat metric $\hat{g}$ and the indicial metric g given by (25) and (45) is no longer an equality as terms dependent on the internal properties of the string or membrane appear. This macroscopic dependence on microscopic internal properties might be small enough to produce realistic models but large enough to lead to new predictions. There is the possibility that this geometric picture might have a thermodynamic analogy, compare [8], in which entropy could be assigned to the string's area $\mathcal{A}$ and related to macroscopic properties. The geometry used does not involve $\hbar$ so that the relationship between microscopic and macroscopic is classical: a more usual picture would be to take it that quantum and many body properties of strings are necessary to build medium size systems, in other words that physicaly intermediate lenght scale properties are necessary. Alternatively the geometry presented here could be interpreted as that the geometry of microscopic spacetime.

7 Acknowledgements

I would like to thank David Bao, Howard E. Brandt and Lajos Tamassy for correspondence in september 2000, and Jürgen Jost for conversations in 2007 on geometry based on area.

References

- [1] E. Abdalla, K.H.C. Castello-Branco and A.Lima-Santos, Area Quantization in Quasi-Extreme Black Holes. **gr-qc/0301130** 2
- [2] M.A. Akivis and B.A. Rosenfeld, Editors, Elie Cartan (1869-1951), Translation of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 123, PP. 225-226, American Mathematical Society (1993) (English translation of the Russian). 2
- [3] C. Barcelo, Stefano Liberati & Matt Visser, Analogue Gravity. *Living Reviews in Relativity* **8**(2005)12. 2
- [4] Aurel Bejancu, Finsler geometry and applications. Ellis Horwood, Chichester, England (1990). *Math.Rev.91i:53075* 2

- [5] Jacob D. Bekenstein, The relation between physical and geometrical gravity. [gr-qc/9211017](#) *Phys.Rev.D***48**(1993)3641-3647, *Math.Rev.*[94g:83109](#). 2
- [6] F. Brickell, On the existence of metric differential geometries based on the notion of area. *Proc.Cambridge Phys.Soc.***46**(1950)67-72, *Math.Rev.*[003603](#) 2
- [7] Elie Cartan, Les espaces metriques fondees sur la notion d'aire (Metric Spaces Based on the Notion of Area), Exposes de Geometrie, Vol.I, Hermann, Paris (1937) (French). 2
- [8] Theodore A. Jacobson, Thermodynamics of spacetime: The Einstein equation of state, *Phys.Rev.Lett.***75**(1995)1260, *Math.Rev.*[96f:83071](#). 9
- [9] M. Matsumoto, Foundations of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces. Kaisishu: Shigukuken (1986). *Math.Rev.*[88f:53111](#) 2
- [10] Matej Pavsic, An extra structure of spacetime: A space of points, areas and volumes. [gr-qc/0611050](#) 2
- [11] Mark D. Roberts, The Rotation and Shear of a String. *Class.Q.Grav.***20**(2003)507-519, *Math.Rev.*[2003m:83134](#). 4, 5
- [12] Mark D. Roberts, The Relative Motion of Membranes. [gr-qc/0404094](#) 7
- [13] Hanno Rund, The differential geometry of Finsler spaces. Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 1959, *Math.Rev.*[0105726](#). 2, 3
- [14] Sergin I. Vacaru, P. Stavrinos, E. Gaburov and D. Gonta, Clifford and Riemann-Finsler Structures in Geometric Mechanics and Gravity, [gr-qc/0508023](#), *Math.Rev.*[2008i:53107](#) 2